

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,161	07/08/2003	David Curbow	SUN-P6353.CON	9756	
7590 03/01/2004			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			MARC COLEMAN, MARTHE Y		
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA			3661	··	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. '		0 K	
· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/616,161	CURBOW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marthe Y Marc-Coleman	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			_
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	<u>08 July 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) □ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re	lication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	'	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Application Serial No. 10/616,161 filed on October 8, 2003 in which claims 1-36 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,694,259. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the application encompasses the claims of the patents because it is broader.

For example, claims 1 and 5 combined in this application is equivalent to claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,694,259.

It is well settled that the omission of an element, and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson,* 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Also note *Ex parte Rainu,* 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969). Omission of a reference element or step whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cahill et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0099574).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claim 32, Cahill et al. discloses a method of finding vacant parking stalls comprising: a) a motorist providing a request to a remote service provider for parking availability, said request containing a location of said motorist (see [0047]); b) said service provider polling parking garages nearby said motorist for parking availability (see[0051] and [0057],); c) said parking garages each automatically determining parking availability and reporting same to said service provider (see abstract); and d) said service provider providing parking availability results to said motorist (see [0053], [0057], and [0068]).

In regard to claim 33, Cahill et al. discloses that the method c) comprises: c1) accessing a plurality of digital images, each image associated with a portion of a parking garage and each image covering a plurality of parking stalls (see [0068]); c2) performing computerized image processing on each image to automatically detect vacant parking stalls of said plurality of parking stalls (see [0068]); c3) indexing a map database with information regarding said vacant parking stalls of each image to determine physical locations of vacant parking stalls of said parking garage (see [0068]); and c4) reporting information regarding a portion of said vacant parking stalls of said parking garage (see [0068]).

In regard to claim 34, Cahill et al. discloses that said request of a) is transmitted wirelessly to said service provider (see Fig. 1 and [0037] and [0055]).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claim 35, Cahill et al. discloses that said parking availability results of d) are transmitted to said motorist using a wireless communication channel (see Fig. 1 and [0037] and [0055]).

In regard to claim 36, Cahill et al. discloses that said parking availability results are filtered to be customized to said motorist (see [0041]).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marthe Y Marc-Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toii-free).

Patent Examiner

Marthe Y. Marc-Gleman Marthe Y. Marc-Coleman

Page 6

February 24, 2004